

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/050,054	01/16/2002	Emad S. Alnemri	480140.474	1093
500	7590 04/27/2004		EXAMINER	
SEED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP PLLC			SCHULTZ, JAMES	
701 FIFTH AV SUITE 6300	VE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	/A 98104-7092		1635	
			DATE MAILED: 04/27/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

properties

## DETAILED ACTION

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-10, drawn to a nucleic acid sequence encoding a Pseudo-ICE, and vectors and cells containing said nucleic acid, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1.
- II. Claims 11-13, and 15, drawn to a polypeptide sequence encoding a Pseudo-ICE, classified in class 435, subclass 183.
- III. Claim 14, drawn to an antibody that recognizes Pseudo-ICE, classified in class 430, subclass 130.1.

The inventions of Groups I-III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, the nucleic acid of Group I is not disclosed as usable together with the polypeptide of Group II or the antibodies of Group III. Furthermore, the nucleic acid of Group I, the polypeptide of Group II, and the antibody of Group III each have unique chemical structures and functions. For example each has its own unique sequence, and the nucleic acid is typically used for transfection and translation of the encoded polypeptide, the polypeptide is typically used for catalyzing unique chemical reactions, and the antibody is typically used for binding to the polypeptide.

Each Group thus has a unique structure, and a different mode of operation, a different function, and different effect when compared to the each of the other Groups.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for one Group is not required for any other Group, there is a burden on the Office to search and examine these separate inventions in a single application. Thus, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to J. Douglas Schultz whose telephone number is 571-272-0763. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John L. LeGuyader can be reached on 571-272-0760. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James Douglas Schultz, PhD

SEAN MC BARRYLER SEAN MC EXAMINER PRIMARY 23